

To: Clark, Susan S SPK[Susan.S.Clark@usace.army.mil]; Bradbury, Mike@DWR[Mike.Bradbury@water.ca.gov]
Cc: Nepstad, Michael G SPK[Michael.G.Nepstad@usace.army.mil]; Enos, Cassandra@DWR[Cassandra.Enos@water.ca.gov]; Nagy, Meegan G SPK[Meegan.G.Nagy@usace.army.mil]
Bcc: Tim Vendlinski[Vendlinski.Tim@epa.gov]; Tom Hagler[Hagler.Tom@epa.gov]; Skophammer, Stephanie[skophammer.stephanie@epa.gov]
From: Foresman, Erin
Sent: Mon 11/17/2014 7:39:17 PM
Subject: RE: 404 Team meeting

Hi Everyone,

Thank you for the invitation to the 408 discussion. I appreciate the effort to include EPA however I won't be joining you this Wednesday. I'm involved in another four part series of BDCP meetings and my participation in the 408 discussion isn't critical. I will be participating in the December 3rd meeting regarding CWA 404.

Thanks again for including EPA.
Erin

Erin Foresman
US EPA | SF Bay Delta | Environmental Scientist
C/O NMFS 650 Capitol Mall| Sacramento, CA 95814
916-930-3722|www.epa.gov/sfbaydelta

Schedule: M 7:30a - 4:00p; T - F 7:30a - 2:00p

-----Original Message-----

From: Clark, Susan S SPK [mailto:Susan.S.Clark@usace.army.mil]
Sent: Friday, November 14, 2014 12:05 PM
To: Bradbury, Mike@DWR
Cc: Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Enos, Cassandra@DWR; Foresman, Erin; Nagy, Meegan G SPK
Subject: RE: 404 Team meeting

Hi Mike:

I've checked with Meegan Nagy and she is available on 11/19 for the 408 discussion. I am available on 11/19 and 12/3 (as is Erin Foresman). As we discussed earlier, Erin and I will not present on CWA 404, but we can talk about application requirements on 12/3.

Thank you and see you soon,
Susan

-----Original Message-----

From: Bradbury, Mike@DWR [mailto:Mike.Bradbury@water.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 12, 2014 4:53 PM
To: Clark, Susan S SPK
Cc: Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Enos, Cassandra@DWR
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: 404 Team meeting

Hi Susan,

I and my teams are still available for both Nov 19 and Dec 3. My preference is to have the meeting on Section 408 issues on November 19 as originally scheduled, and cover the Section 404 issues on the 12/3. If you don't think you have any outstanding issues that you'd like addressed in the EIR/EIS on either 408 or 404 (from your comments or in addition, we can pull some comments that we'd like to have

resolved for the document.

Let me know how you'd like to proceed.

Thanks,
Mike

Michael Bradbury
Program Manager II, BDCP Permitting
Department of Water Resources
901 P Street, Suite 411b, Sacramento, CA 95814 Cell (916) 207-0803 Office (916) 651-2987

-----Original Message-----

From: Clark, Susan S SPK [mailto:Susan.S.Clark@usace.army.mil]
Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2014 8:05 AM
To: Bradbury, Mike@DWR
Cc: Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Enos, Cassandra@DWR
Subject: FW: 404 Team meeting

Hi Mike:

Thank you for sorting and categorizing the comments.

After a brief review of the category 5 comments (further discussion required) it looks like the Lead Agencies have made a decision as to what was going to be in the document, and as such there isn't much to discuss because it is not our document and we already know and have agreed that additional NEPA will be needed for Corps actions.

Of the Category 4 comments, there are several comments where you are asking for clarification. It seems that category 1, 2 or 3 is irrelevant as either a decision has already been made, or the suggested action cannot be done due to lack of site access. There are about a dozen comments which the lead agencies have decided to incorporate into the document but need guidance on how, and those comments that they haven't made a decision on because they don't understand our comment (maybe a dozen comments). You and I can meet and go over these few comments together. Mike Nepstad is not available to meet on 11/18. I can meet with you, preferably on 11/19 or 12/3. When are you available?

Thank you,
Susan

-----Original Message-----

From: Bradbury, Mike@DWR [mailto:Mike.Bradbury@water.ca.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 05, 2014 10:01 AM
To: Clark, Susan S SPK
Cc: Nepstad, Michael G SPK; Enos, Cassandra@DWR
Subject: [EXTERNAL] FW: 404 Team meeting

Susan,

Here is the sorted and categorized comments the Corps provided on the second admin draft EIR/EIS. We don't have the Corps comments on the public draft EIR/EIS sorted and a=categorized, but many of the comments were the same. If you can identify your high priority issues from the admin draft comments, and add any additional unique issues from the public draft comments, addressing those issues will likely fill the meeting time.

We've categorized the second admin draft comments from the Corps, and here is the breakdown based on the categories that were identified. I've attached the spreadsheet with the comments and assigned categories.

Category 1 (we're not going to have the requested level of detail in this document): 34 comments

Category 2 (we addressed the comment either through revisions or via the comment response): 218 comments

Category 3 (we know we need to address the comment but we're working on the details): 9 comments

Category 4 (need clarification regarding the comment): 57 comments

Category 5 (further discussion required): 79 comments

Categories 1 and 4: 25 comments

Let me know if this process meets your needs.

Thanks,

Mike